

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,229	11/06/2001	Chisato Hirata	ACE-1001	5572	
75	10/08/2003		EXAMINER		
	Mitchell P Brook			MAI, TRI M	
Luce Forward Hamilton & Scripps 11988 El Camino Real Suite 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA	92130		3727		
			DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003	, 12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C		
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/009,229	HIRATA, CHISA	то		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tri M. Mai	3727			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, howev within the statutory minin ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered tim X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication. ,		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fin	al.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon	nce except for for Ex parte Quayle,	mal matters, prosecution as to 1 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	the merits is		
Disposition of Claims	_				
4) Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are pending in the applicatio		tion.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from considera	uon.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	14:				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirem	ient.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		d to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	al Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			al application)		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application	n has been received.	агаррисацопу.		
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35	OU.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)	" П	Intensions Comment (DTO 442) December	lo(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application (F Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/009,229 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 13, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Ota et al. (5407086). or Vailliencourt (5337909). Ota teaches the container being made from PET (col. 1, line 49), a cap (col. 4, line 9). Portion 4 is the ornamental portion such that it does not exceed an external circumference of the base portion, and the base portion is provided with pressure-responsive panels 12. Regarding claim 14, it is submitted that there are two bumper portions (one is just above portion 2, and the other one is at the base portion) would prevent the ornamental portion from damage.

Vailliencourt teaches the container being made from PET, and portion 14 is the ornamental portion such that it does not exceed an external circumference of the base portion, and the base portion is provided with pressure-responsive panels 24. Regarding claim 14, it is submitted that there are two bumper portions 19 and 16 would prevent the ornamental portion from damage.

2. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carew (6044996). Carew teaches the container being made from PET, and portion at 3 is the ornamental portion, and that it does not exceed an external circumference of the base portion, and the base portion is provided with pressure-responsive panels 12.

Regarding claim 14, it is submitted that there are two bumper portions (one is just above portion 7, and the other one is at 4) would prevent the ornamental portion from damage.

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Ota et al. or Vailliencourt in view of Carew et al. (6044996). Either Ota or Vailliencourt meets all claimed limitations except for the arcuate portion. Carew teaches that it is known in the art to provide

Application/Control Number: 10/009,229 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

arcuate portions 11 as shown in Figs. 2 and 5, respectively. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide arcuate portions in either Ota or Vailliencourt as taught by Carew to provide alternative pressure responsive panels.

4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Ota et al. or Vailliencourt, or Carew in view of Becker (D187435). Each of Ota, Vailliencourt, and Carew meets all claimed limitations except for the figurine shape. Becker teaches that it is known in the art to provide a figurine shape for a bottle. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a figurine shape for a bottle in anyone of Ota, Vailliencourt, and Carew as taught by Becker to attract customer and/or to provide an alternative gripping portion.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 08/15/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant mainly argues about the protection of the ornamental portion from damage during transportation. It is submitted that it is known in the art that the bumpers such as those used in either Ota or Carew are made specific for the purpose of protection, e.g., Krishnakumar et al (5279433) in col. 4, lines 18-21, "includes a bumper 46 of greater diameter of the label panel 36 in order to protect the attached label 50 during shipment."

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (703)308-1038. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/009,229 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W Young can be reached on (703)308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

Tri M. Mai Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3727